



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Inventor: Takenobu ARIMA et al.

Art Unit: 2617

Appln. No.:

10/509,493

Exr. S. Brooks

Filed:

September 28, 2004

Conf. No. 5357

For:

SCHEDULING APPARATUS AND COMMUNICATION METHOD

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Rejection dated October 17, 2007, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of this application in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 11 stand rejected, under 35 USC \$103(a), as being unpatentable over Stolyar et al. (US 6,590,890) in view of Mandyam (US 6,931,256). Claims 9 and 10 stand rejected, under 35 USC \$103(a), as being unpatentable over Stolyar in view of Mandyam and Wei et al. (US 2003/0204615). The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections based on the points set forth below.

Claim 1 defines a scheduling apparatus that determines a packet data transmission order for transmitting packet data earlier to a communication partner whose transmission path